

## WINDSOR URBAN DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PANEL

WEDNESDAY, 10 OCTOBER 2018

PRESENT: Councillors Phillip Bicknell (Vice-Chairman), Malcolm Beer, Eileen Quick, Samantha Rayner, Shamsul Shelim and Edward Wilson.

Officers: Ashley Smith, Wendy Binmore and Sian Saadeh

### APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors M. Airey, Alexander, Bowden and Da Costa.

### DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

**Cllr Beer** – Declared a personal interest in item 1 as he personally knew the applicant. He confirmed he attended Panel with an open mind.

**Cllr Quick** – Declared a personal interest in item 2 as she had spoken to local residents about the application. She confirmed she attended Panel with an open mind.

**Cllr S. Rayner** – Declared a personal interest in item 1 as she personally knew the objector. She confirmed she attended Panel with an open mind.

**Cllr E. Wilson** – Declared a personal interest in item 1 as the application was in his Ward and he had been in correspondence with the applicant. He confirmed he attended Panel with an open mind.

### MINUTES

**RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY: That the minutes of the meeting held on 12 September 2018 be approved.**

### PLANNING APPLICATIONS (DECISION)

17/03345 Mrs Pawlik-Cazin: Alterations to the roof to facilitate loft conversion with x3 side (north-west) facing dormers and x1 side (south east) facing dormer and x1 rear Juliette balcony and alterations to fenestration (retrospective) – **THE PANEL VOTED UNANIMOUSLY to grant planning permission with the conditions listed in Section 10 of the Main Report, as per the Head of Planning's recommendations.**

(The Panel was addressed by Melanie Bovingdon in objection and John Andrews the agent on behalf of the applicant).

18/02283 Construction of x4 dwellings with associated car parking, landscaping and associated infrastructure following demolition of all existing buildings at Garage Block to Rear of 121 and 123 and Land Rear of 113 to 117 Springfield Road, Windsor. – **THE PANEL VOTED UNANIMOUSLY to refuse the application and refuse planning**

permission for the following summarised reasons (the full reasons are identified in Section 11 of the Main Report):

1. The proposed development would result in a cramped, overdevelopment of the site and would appear out of context with the surrounding residential development to the detriment of the character and visual amenity of the locality.
2. The proposed development would result in an unacceptable impact on the living conditions of the neighbouring bungalows, numbers 1 & 2 Combermere Close.
3. The proposed development would result in insufficient car parking and the width of the access is considered to be inadequate to the detriment of highway safety.
4. It has not been properly demonstrated that the loss of employment use would not harm the employment opportunities in the locality.

(The Panel was addressed by Zygmunt Biernat and Philip James in objection and David Maddox the agent on behalf of the applicant).

#### ESSENTIAL MONITORING REPORTS (MONITORING)

All details of the Essential Monitoring reports were noted.

The meeting, which began at 7.00 pm, finished at 7.50 pm

CHAIRMAN.....

DATE.....